https://doi.org/10.30799/jnst.S208.26110308 J. Nanosci. Tech. - Volume 11 Issue 3 (2026) 1102–1105

Share Your Innovations through JACS Directory

Journal of Nanoscience and Technology

Visit Journal at https://www.jacsdirectory.com/jnst

ISSN: 2455-0191

Challenges and Limitations of Fluorescent Nanocomposites: A Comprehensive Review

Akash P. Patil*, Gokul V. Suryawanshi, Tulshidas S. Savale

Dept. of Chemistry, M.G.V's M.S.G. Arts, Science and Commerce College, Malegaon Camp, Malegaon, Nashik – 423 105, Maharashtra, India.

ARTICLEDETAILS

Article history:
Received 24 February 2026
Accepted 11 March 2026
Available online 15 April 2026

Keywords:
Fluorescent
Nanocomposites
Photoluminescence
Quenching

ABSTRACT

Fluorescent nanocomposites are an emerging class of multifunctional materials that combine nanoscale matrices with fluorescent components to achieve enhanced optical, chemical, and mechanical performance. By integrating nanomaterials known for their high surface area, tunable morphology, and size-dependent properties with fluorescent species such as organic dyes, quantum dots, rare-earth ions, carbon dots, and metal nanoclusters, these systems offer synergistic advantages. They have found applications in chemical and biological sensing, bioimaging, optoelectronics, light-emitting devices, energy harvesting, photocatalysis, environmental monitoring, and security labelling. However, despite substantial laboratory progress, large-scale commercialization remains challenging. A primary limitation lies in synthesis complexity. Achieving uniform dispersion of fluorescent species within the host matrix without compromising emission efficiency requires precise control over reaction parameters such as precursor concentration, pH, temperature, and time. Multistep synthesis routes and weak interfacial interactions often reduce reproducibility and scalability. These issues increase production costs and hinder industrial adoption. Optical instability further restricts practical use. Aggregation-induced quenching, self-absorption, photobleaching, and non-radiative energy transfer can significantly reduce fluorescence intensity. Environmental factors such as oxygen, moisture, and heat accelerate degradation, limiting long-term durability in sensing and imaging applications. In summary, while fluorescent nanocomposites hold tremendous promise as next-generation functional materials, overcoming challenges related to synthesis control, stability, reproducibility, toxicity, and scalability is crucial. Future research focused on sustainable design, improved interfacial engineering, and enhanced photostability will be essential for successful real-world implementation and commercialization.

1. Introduction

Fluorescent nanocomposites have gained remarkable attention in recent years as a class of advanced functional materials that merge the unique properties of nanostructured matrices with luminescent or fluorescent components [1]. The rapid evolution of nanoscience and materials chemistry has enabled precise manipulation of matter at the nanoscale, leading to materials with tunable optical, electrical, chemical, and mechanical properties [2]. When fluorescence is incorporated into nanocomposites, the resulting materials exhibit enhanced sensitivity, selectivity, and multifunctionality, making them highly attractive for applications ranging from sensing and bioimaging to optoelectronics, energy devices, and environmental monitoring [3].

Fluorescent nanocomposites typically consist of a host nanomaterial such as metal oxides, polymers, silica, carbon-based materials, or layered nanostructures embedded or coupled with fluorescent entities including organic dyes, semiconductor quantum dots, rare-earth ions, carbon dots, or metal nanoclusters [4]. The synergistic interaction between the nanostructured host and the fluorescent component often leads to improved emission efficiency, stability, and functional performance compared to individual constituents [5]. For example, ZnO–carbon dot nanocomposites have shown enhanced photoluminescence and improved sensing performance, while polymer-based fluorescent nanocomposites demonstrate flexibility and processability for optoelectronic devices [6].

Despite impressive progress at the laboratory scale, the practical utilization of fluorescent nanocomposites remains limited due to several inherent scientific and technological challenges [7]. These challenges arise from the complexity of synthesis, instability of fluorescence under real-world conditions, aggregation-induced quenching, toxicity concerns, lack of reproducibility, environmental impact, and difficulties associated with large-scale manufacturing [8]. Understanding these challenges at a fundamental level is essential for translating fluorescent nanocomposites from academic research to industrial and commercial applications [9].

The fluorescence behaviour of nanocomposites is governed by electronic transitions within the fluorescent component and its interaction with the surrounding nanomatrix [10]. At the nanoscale, phenomena such as quantum confinement, surface states, energy transfer, and interfacial effects play a dominant role in determining emission characteristics [11]. The host matrix can act as a protective scaffold, a charge-transfer medium, or an energy donor/acceptor, depending on its composition and structure [12].

For instance, rare-earth-doped oxide nanocomposites (e.g., Eu^{3+}-doped TiO_2 or CeO_2) exhibit sharp emission bands due to f–f electronic transitions, making them suitable for lighting and display technologies [13]. Similarly, carbon-dot-based nanocomposites embedded in polymers or silica matrices show excitation-dependent emission and high photostability, enabling applications in bioimaging and chemical sensing [14]. However, achieving an optimal balance between strong fluorescence and material stability is challenging [15]. Excessive loading of fluorescent species may lead to aggregation and fluorescence quenching, whereas insufficient loading can result in weak emission intensity [16]. This delicate balance underscores the need for precise control over synthesis and material design [17].

One of the primary challenges associated with fluorescent nanocomposites is the complexity of synthesis and structural control [18]. Common preparation methods include sol–gel processing, hydrothermal and solvothermal techniques, co-precipitation, in situ polymerization, and surface functionalization approaches [19]. While these methods allow fine control over particle size and morphology, they often involve multiple steps, long reaction times, and stringent experimental conditions [20].

Fluorescence quenching remains a major obstacle in the development of high-performance fluorescent nanocomposites [21]. Aggregation-induced quenching (AIQ) occurs when fluorescent species come into close proximity, facilitating non-radiative energy transfer and exciton annihilation [22]. This issue is particularly prominent in dye-based and quantum-dot-based nanocomposites [23].

---

*Corresponding Author:shelaraakash133@gmail.com(Akash P. Patil)

https://doi.org/10.30799/jnst.S208.26110308

Cite this Article as: Akash P. Patil, Gokul V. Suryawanshi, Tulshidas S. Savale, Challenges and limitations of fluorescent nanocomposites: A comprehensive review, J. Nanosci. Tech. 11(3) (2026) 1102–1105.

Photobleaching, self-absorption, and environmental degradation further compromise optical stability [24]. For instance, organic dye-based nanocomposites often suffer from rapid photobleaching under prolonged UV or visible light exposure, limiting their use in long-term sensing or imaging applications [25]. Although strategies such as core–shell architectures and polymer encapsulation have shown promise, achieving long-term optical stability remains a significant challenge [26].

The potential toxicity of fluorescent nanocomposites has become a critical concern, especially for biomedical and environmental applications. Semiconductor quantum dots containing heavy metals such as Cd, Pb, or Hg can release toxic ions, posing risks to human health and ecosystems [27]. Even metal-oxide-based nanocomposites may induce oxidative stress or inflammatory responses due to their high surface reactivity [28].

Table 1 Common fluorescent components used in nanocomposites

Component	Key Features	Applications	Main Challenges
Organic dyes	High quantum yield, tunable color	Sensing, imaging	Photobleaching, leaching
Quantum dots	Size-dependent emission	Displays, bioimaging	Toxicity, AIQ
Rare-earth ions	Sharp emission lines	Lighting, lasers	Low absorption cross-section
Carbon dots	Low toxicity, good stability	Bioimaging, sensing	Lower emission efficiency
Metal nanoclusters	Strong fluorescence	Catalysis, sensing	Stability issues

Table 2 Major challenges in fluorescent nanocomposites with examples

Challenge	Description	Representative Examples
Synthesis complexity	Multistep and sensitive procedures	ZnO–QD hybrids
Fluorescence quenching	Non-radiative energy loss	Dye-loaded silica
Stability issues	Photochemical and thermal degradation	Polymer–dye composites
Toxicity	Health and environmental risks	CdSe QD nanocomposites
Scalability	Difficult industrial production	Hydrothermal synthesis routes

Table 3 Application areas vs key limitations

Application Area	Advantages	Limiting Factors
Bioimaging	High sensitivity, contrast	Toxicity, photobleaching
Chemical sensing	Selective fluorescence response	Environmental instability
Optoelectronics	Tunable emission	Poor thermal stability
Environmental monitoring	Trace detection	Reusability issues
Energy devices	Light harvesting	Long-term durability

2. Classification of Fluorescent Nanocomposites

Fluorescent nanocomposites are multifunctional materials formed by integrating fluorescent species with nanoscale host matrices. Their classification helps in understanding structure–property relationships, fluorescence behaviour, and application suitability. Based on host matrix, fluorescent component, interaction mechanism, and structural architecture, fluorescent nanocomposites can be broadly categorized as follows.

2.1 Classification Based on Host Matrix

Inorganic-based fluorescent nanocomposites employ metal oxides, silica, or semiconductor nanostructures as hosts. These materials offer excellent thermal and chemical stability. Examples include Eu^{3+}-doped ZnO, CeO_2–carbon dot, and dye–SiO_2 nanocomposites, widely used in sensing, displays, and photocatalysis. In contrast, polymer-based nanocomposites such as carbon dot–polymer systems provide flexibility and easy processability but may exhibit lower thermal stability [26,27].

Table 4 Host Matrix–Based Classification

Host Matrix	Example	Key Advantage	Application
Metal oxides	Eu^{3+}–ZnO	Thermal stability	LEDs
Silica	Dye–SiO_2	Chemical inertness	Bioimaging
Polymers	CD–polymer	Flexibility	Sensors
Carbon materials	CD–graphene	Conductivity	Optoelectronics

2.2 Classification Based on Fluorescent Component

Fluorescent nanocomposites can also be classified by the nature of the fluorescent species. Organic dye-based nanocomposites exhibit high quantum yield and tunable emission but suffer from photobleaching [28]. Quantum dot-based nanocomposites show size-dependent emission and high brightness, though toxicity remains a concern. Carbon dot-based nanocomposites are eco-friendly and biocompatible, while rare-earth-doped nanocomposites provide sharp emission lines with excellent color purity [29].

Table 5 Fluorescent Component–Based Classification

Component	Example	Major Feature	Limitation
Organic dyes	RhB–SiO_2	High brightness	Photobleaching
Quantum dots	CdSe QD–polymer	Tunable emission	Toxicity
Carbon dots	CD–ZnO	Low toxicity	Moderate intensity
Rare-earth ions	Eu^{3+}–TiO_2	Sharp emission	Low absorption

2.3 Classification Based on Interaction and Structure

Based on interaction mechanisms, fluorescent nanocomposites may be physically mixed, chemically bonded, or core–shell structured. Physically mixed systems are simple to prepare but less stable, whereas chemically bonded and core–shell architectures significantly improve fluorescence stability and reduce quenching. For example, QD@SiO_2 core–shell nanocomposites show enhanced photostability and reduced environmental degradation [30].

Table 6 Structural and Interaction-Based Classification

Type	Description	Example	Benefit
Physical mixing	Weak interaction	Dye–polymer	Simple synthesis
Chemical bonding	Covalent/coordination	Dye–SiO_2	High stability
Core–shell	Encapsulation	QD@SiO_2	Reduced quenching

3. Synthesis-Related Challenges

The synthesis of fluorescent nanocomposites is a critical step that largely determines their structural integrity, optical performance, and application viability. Although numerous synthesis routes have been developed, several inherent challenges continue to limit the reproducibility, scalability, and functional efficiency of these materials.

One of the primary challenges is the complexity of synthesis protocols. Many fluorescent nanocomposites require multistep preparation involving nanoparticle synthesis, surface modification, and subsequent incorporation of fluorescent species. For example, the synthesis of ZnO–quantum dot nanocomposites often involve separate preparation of ZnO nanoparticles and quantum dots, followed by controlled coupling to avoid phase separation. Such multistep processes increase synthesis time, cost, and the probability of experimental variability [31]. Control over particle size, morphology, and distribution presents another significant challenge. Fluorescence properties are highly sensitive to nanoparticle size and shape, particularly in quantum dot- and defect-related emission systems. Minor variations in reaction temperature, precursor concentration, or pH can lead to broad particle size distributions, resulting in inconsistent fluorescence intensity and emission wavelengths. For instance, non-uniform dispersion of carbon dots within metal-oxide matrices often leads to localized aggregation and uneven emission.

Achieving homogeneous dispersion of fluorescent components within the host matrix remains difficult, especially at higher fluorophore loadings. Poor dispersion promotes aggregation-induced quenching, significantly reducing fluorescence efficiency. In dye-based nanocomposites, such as rhodamine-loaded silica, excessive dye concentration frequently results in self-quenching and reduced photostability [32]. Another major challenge is weak interfacial interaction between the host and fluorophore. Insufficient bonding can lead to dye leaching, fluorescence instability, and rapid degradation under operational conditions. For example, physically mixed polymer–dye nanocomposites often exhibit reduced emission stability compared to chemically bonded or encapsulated systems. Developing strong covalent or coordination interactions without disrupting fluorescence remains a synthetic challenge.

Sensitivity to synthesis conditions further complicates reproducibility. Many fluorescent nanocomposites require strict control over reaction parameters such as solvent polarity, reaction atmosphere, and aging time. Rare-earth-doped oxide nanocomposites, for instance, demand precise dopant concentration control; slight deviations can cause concentration quenching or phase segregation, adversely affecting emission performance. Scalability is another synthesis-related issue. Techniques such as hydrothermal, solvothermal, and template-assisted methods are effective at the laboratory scale but are difficult to scale up for industrial

https://doi.org/10.30799/jnst.S208.26110308

Cite this Article as: Akash P. Patil, Gokul V. Suryawanshi, Tulshidas S. Savale, Challenges and limitations of fluorescent nanocomposites: A comprehensive review, J. Nanosci. Tech. 11(3) (2026) 1102–1105.

production. Maintaining consistent fluorescence properties across large batches is particularly challenging due to heat and mass transfer limitations. For example, large-scale synthesis of core–shell quantum nanocomposites often suffer from shell thickness variations and incomplete encapsulation.

Finally, cost and sustainability of synthesis routes pose practical concerns. The use of expensive precursors, toxic solvents, and energy-intensive processes limits the economic feasibility and environmental compatibility of fluorescent nanocomposites. Heavy-metal-based quantum dots and solvent-intensive sol–gel processes exemplify synthesis approaches that face increasing regulatory and environmental scrutiny [30]. In summary, synthesis-related challenges in fluorescent nanocomposites arise from complex preparation routes, poor control over nanostructure and dispersion, weak interfacial interactions, sensitivity to reaction conditions, limited scalability, and sustainability concerns. Addressing these challenges through simplified synthesis strategies, green chemistry approaches, and robust interfacial engineering is essential for advancing fluorescent nanocomposites toward real-world applications.

4. Stability and Photobleaching

One of the most critical challenges of fluorescent nanocomposites is their limited photostability. Prolonged exposure to light can cause photobleaching, leading to reduced fluorescence intensity [31]. Environmental factors such as temperature, humidity, pH, and oxygen further influence stability, particularly for organic dye-based systems.

Photobleaching, defined as the irreversible loss of fluorescence intensity upon prolonged exposure to light, is particularly severe in nanocomposites containing organic dyes. Continuous excitation can induce chemical degradation of dye molecules through photo-oxidation, bond cleavage, or formation of non-radiative defect states.

In addition to photobleaching, chemical and environmental instability significantly affects fluorescence performance. Many fluorescent nanocomposites are sensitive to oxygen, moisture, pH variations, and ionic strength. Exposure to ambient oxygen can generate reactive oxygen species at nanoparticle surfaces, accelerating fluorophore degradation.

Thermal instability further complicates the practical use of fluorescent nanocomposites. Elevated temperatures can alter nanoparticle surface states, weaken host–fluorophore interactions, and enhance non-radiative relaxation pathways. Another major concern is fluorescence quenching due to surface defects and aggregation. Instability at the nanomaterial interface can promote defect formation, which acts as non-radiative recombination centers. Leaching of fluorescent components also contributes to poor stability, particularly in physically mixed nanocomposites [32].

5. Aggregation-Induced Quenching

Nanoparticle aggregation within the composite matrix can result in fluorescence quenching due to non-radiative energy transfer. This phenomenon significantly reduces quantum yield and sensing efficiency. Aggregation-induced quenching (AIQ) occurs when fluorescent species come into close proximity due to aggregation, leading to a significant reduction or complete loss of emission intensity. At the fundamental level, AIQ arises from non-radiative energy transfer mechanisms such as exciton–exciton annihilation, Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET), and π–π stacking interactions [33]. Quantum dot-based nanocomposites are also susceptible to AIQ because aggregation creates electronic coupling and surface trap states. Metal oxide-based fluorescent nanocomposites like ZnO, TiO_2, or CeO_2 frequently experience quenching due to nanoparticle clustering and defect-related interactions [34].

6. Toxicity and Biocompatibility Issues

Many fluorescent nanomaterials, such as cadmium-based quantum dots, pose toxicity concerns. Heavy-metal-based quantum dots such as CdSe or CdTe may release toxic metal ions due to surface oxidation or degradation, causing oxidative stress and cytotoxicity. Nanoparticle size and surface chemistry strongly influence biological interactions and cellular uptake. Metal oxide nanocomposites such as ZnO and TiO_2 may also generate reactive oxygen species under UV illumination, causing photo-induced toxicity [35].

7. Environmental and Health Concerns

The environmental fate of fluorescent nanocomposites is not fully understood. Issues related to nanoparticle release, persistence, and bioaccumulation raise concerns regarding long-term ecological impact. Heavy-metal-containing nanocomposites pose significant ecological risks due to metal ion leaching and persistence in natural systems. Bioaccumulation in aquatic organisms and transfer through food chains may cause oxidative stress and reproductive toxicity. Human exposure through inhalation, ingestion, or dermal contact may also lead to potential health risks due to nanoparticle penetration through biological barriers [36]. In conclusion, environmental and health concerns associated with fluorescent nanocomposites stem from their potential for environmental release, bioaccumulation, human exposure, ROS generation, and persistence in natural systems. Addressing these challenges requires the adoption of green synthesis approaches, development of biodegradable and heavy-metal-free nanocomposites, comprehensive risk assessment, and robust regulatory frameworks to ensure safe and sustainable use.

8. Conclusion

Fluorescent nanocomposites represent a rapidly evolving field with immense potential. However, challenges related to synthesis, stability, toxicity, and scalability must be addressed to enable widespread application. Continued research and innovation will pave the way for next-generation fluorescent nanocomposite materials. Fluorescent nanocomposites represent a powerful and versatile class of advanced materials with immense potential in sensing, bioimaging, optoelectronics, energy devices, and environmental monitoring. Their unique ability to combine the functional advantages of nanostructured hosts with tunable fluorescence has driven significant progress at the laboratory scale. However, the successful translation of these materials into real-world applications remains constrained by several interrelated challenges.

Synthesis-related issues such as complex preparation routes, poor control over dispersion, and sensitivity to reaction conditions often result in inconsistent material properties. Stability problems, including photobleaching and environmental degradation, limit long-term performance, while aggregation-induced quenching severely reduces fluorescence efficiency. Concerns related to toxicity, biocompatibility, and environmental impact further restrict the use of certain fluorescent nanocomposites, particularly those containing heavy metals or persistent nanomaterials. In addition, challenges associated with scalability, high production costs, and the lack of reproducibility and standardization hinder industrial adoption and commercialization.

Addressing these limitations requires a holistic and multidisciplinary approach. The development of simplified and scalable synthesis methods, photostable and eco-friendly fluorescent components, effective surface and interface engineering strategies, and standardized characterization protocols is essential. Emphasis on green chemistry, heavy-metal-free systems, and long-term safety assessment will play a crucial role in ensuring sustainable development. Collaborative efforts between researchers, industry, and regulatory bodies are also necessary to establish reproducible standards and reliable manufacturing practices.

In conclusion, while significant challenges remain, continued innovation and systematic problem-solving can unlock the full potential of fluorescent nanocomposites. By overcoming current limitations, these materials are poised to evolve from promising laboratory systems into robust, safe, and commercially viable technologies with wide-ranging societal and technological impact.

References

[1] Guangming Bai, Man-Kin Tsang, Jianhua Hao, Luminescent ions in advanced composite materials for multifunctional applications, Adv. Funct. Mater. 26(36) (2016) 6330–6350.

[2] H.S. Das, A. Basak, S. Maity, Materials science and nanotechnology, in: Innovations in energy efficient construction through sustainable materials, IGI Global Scientific Publication, USA, 2024, p.175-206.

[3] Siva Kumar Krishnan, Eric Singh, Pragya Singh, Meyya Meyyappan, Hari Singh Nalwa, A review on graphene-based nanocomposites for electrochemical and fluorescent biosensors, RSC Adv. 9(13) (2019) 8778–8881.

[4] K. Gandla, K. Praveen Kumar, P. Rajasulochana, M.S. Charde, Ritesh Rana, et al., Fluorescent-nanoparticle-impregnated nanocomposite polymeric gels for biosensing and drug delivery applications, Gels 9(8) (2023) 669.

[5] Min Ha, Jeongwoo Kim, Sang-Hyun Kim, Taeghwan Hyeon, Multicomponent plasmonic nanoparticles: from heterostructured nanoparticles to colloidal composite nanostructures, Chem. Rev. 119(22) (2019) 12208–12278.

[6] Ritu Pathak, Ankita Soni, Shilpi Srivastava, Multifunctional role of carbon dot-based polymer nanocomposites in biomedical applications: a review, J. Mater. Sci. 58(13) (2023) 6419–6443.

[7] Sarah A. Corr, Yuri P. Rakovich, Yurii K. Gun'ko, Multifunctional magnetic-fluorescent nanocomposites for biomedical applications, Nanoscale Res. Lett. 3 (2008) 87–104.

[8] K. Buonasera, M. Galletta, M.R. Calvo, G.P. Escobar, A.A. Leonardi, A. Irrera, Organic fluorescent sensors for environmental analysis: a critical review and insights into inorganic alternatives, Nanomaterials 15(15) (2025) 1512.

[9] A.P. Demchenko, Nanoparticles and nanocomposites for fluorescence sensing and imaging, Methods Appl. Fluoresc. 1(2) (2013) 022001.
[10] R.R. Gaddam, R. Narayan, K.V.S.N. Raju, Fluorescence spectroscopy of nanofillers and their polymer nanocomposites, in: Spectroscopy of polymer nanocomposites, William Andrew Publishing, USA, 2016, pp.158–180.
[11] Xiaoyong Liu, Jianrong Qiu, Recent advances in energy transfer in bulk and nanoscale luminescent materials: from spectroscopy to applications, Chem. Soc. Rev. 44(23) (2015) 8714–8746.
[12] Xiaohui Zhang, Yajie Wang, Li Chen, Polyphenol scaffolds in tissue engineering, Mater. Horiz. 8(1) (2021) 145–167.
[13] Mohammad K. Hossain, Md. Mahmudur Rahman, Md. Abdul Gafur, A review on optical applications, prospects, and challenges of rare-earth oxides, ACS Appl. Electron. Mater. 3(6) (2021) 3715–3746.
[14] Zainab A. Qureshi, Muhammad Imran, Muhammad Zahid, Carbon dots as versatile nanomaterials in sensing and imaging: efficiency and beyond, Heliyon 10(3) (2024) e31634.
[15] Guangbin Jiang, Yifan Li, Rui Zhang, Chemical approaches to optimize the properties of organic fluorophores for imaging and sensing, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 63(22) (2024) e202315217.
[16] Hui Yuan, Wei Zhao, Wei Wu, How can aggregation-caused quenching based bioimaging of drug nanocarriers be improved?, Ther. Deliv. 11(12) (2020) 809–812.
[17] Saptarshi Basak, Anirban Bandyopadhyay, Toward making polymer chemistry autonomous, ACS Appl. Eng. Mater. 2(4) (2024) 1190–1208.
[18] Xiaojun Guo, Ling Zhang, Jian Li, Synthesis and application of several sol-gel-derived materials via sol-gel process combining with other technologies: a review, J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol. 79(2) (2016) 328–358.
[19] Ufuk Ulusoy, A review of particle shape effects on material properties for various engineering applications: from macro to nanoscale, Minerals 13(1) (2023) 91.
[20] Md. Monirul Islam, Md. Shafiqul Islam, Md. Ashraful Alam, Pyrene-based aggregation-induced emission luminogens and their applications, Mater. Chem. Front. 3(5) (2019) 762–781.
[21] Javed S. Shaikh, Pravin K. Borse, Rajaram S. Mane, Quantum dot based solar cells: role of nanoarchitectures, perovskite quantum dots, and charge-transporting layers, Chem. Sus. Chem. 12(20) (2019) 4724–4753.
[22] Oliver J. Woodford, Photostability and the BOPHY Architecture, Ph.D. Dissertation, Newcastle University, UK, 2018.
[23] Dmitriy Svechkarev, Andrew M. Mohs, Organic fluorescent dye-based nanomaterials: advances in the rational design for imaging and sensing applications, Curr. Med. Chem. 26(24) (2019) 4042–4064.
[24] Gamal H. Ahmed, Mohamed A. El-Din, Ahmed A. Ibrahim, Successes and challenges of core/shell lead halide perovskite nanocrystals, ACS Energy Lett. 6(4) (2021) 1340–1357.
[25] Ahmed H.E. Moustafa, Mohamed S. Ahmed, Khaled M. Ibrahim, A novel successful strategy for the detection of antibiotics and toxic heavy metals based on fluorescence silver/graphene quantum dots nanocomposites, Appl. Nanosci. 14(1) (2024) 1–20.
[26] P. Kannan, G. Maduraiveeran, Metal oxides nanomaterials and nanocomposite-based electrochemical sensors for healthcare applications, Biosensors 13(5) (2023) 542.
[27] S.K. Bhunia, A. Saha, A.R. Maity, S.C. Ray, N.R. Jana, Carbon nanoparticle-based fluorescent bioimaging probes, Sci. Rep. 3 (2013) 1473.
[28] J.C.G. Bünzli, S.V. Eliseeva, Lanthanide luminescence for functional materials and biosciences, Chem. Sci. 1(2) (2010) 273–287.
[29] X. Wang, Y. Li, Luminescent nanomaterials: synthesis, properties and applications, Chem. Soc. Rev. 45(12) (2016) 4036–4056.
[30] S.N. Baker, G.A. Baker, Luminescent carbon nanodots: emergent nanolights, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 49(33) (2010) 6726–6744.
[31] J.R. Lakowicz, Principles of fluorescence spectroscopy, Springer, New York, 2006.
[32] X. Michalet, F.F. Pinaud, L.A. Bentolila, J.M. Tsay, S. Doose, J.J. Li, Quantum dots for live cells, in vivo imaging, and diagnostics, Science 307 (2005) 538–544.
[33] T. Förster, Intermolecular energy migration and fluorescence, Ann. Phys. 2(1) (1948) 55–75.
[34] B. Valeur, M.N. Berberan-Santos, Molecular fluorescence: Principles and applications, Wiley-VCH, Germany, 2012.
[35] A.L. Rogach, Semiconductor nanocrystal quantum dots: Synthesis, assembly, spectroscopy and applications, Springer, Germany, 2008.
[36] I.L. Medintz, H.T. Uyeda, E.R. Goldman, H. Mattoussi, Quantum dot bioconjugates for imaging, labelling and sensing, Nat. Mater. 4 (2005) 435–446.

Special Issue Publication Statement

This article is included in the Special Issue of the journal comprising peer-reviewed papers selected from the International Conference on "Frontiers in Chemical and Material Sciences (ICFCMS-2026)", held on 3rd and 4th February 2026 at MGV's Maharaja Sayajirao Gaikwad Arts, Science and Commerce College, Malegaon Camp, Malegaon, Nashik – 423 105, Maharashtra, India.

https://doi.org/10.30799/jnst.S208.26110308

Cite this Article as: Akash P. Patil, Gokul V. Suryawanshi, Tulshidas S. Savale, Challenges and limitations of fluorescent nanocomposites: A comprehensive review, J. Nanosci. Tech. 11(3) (2026) 1102–1105.