https://doi.org/10.30799/jnst.S210.26110310 J. Nanosci. Tech. - Volume 11 Issue 3 (2026) 1110–1112

Share Your Innovations through JACS Directory

Journal of Nanoscience and Technology

Visit Journal at https://www.jacsdirectory.com/jnst

ISSN: 2455-0191

Recent Advances in Nanoparticle Functionalization of Graphene Oxide: A Critical Review

Aishwarya S. Patil*, Sandip B. Nahire

Dept. of Chemistry, M.G.V's M.S.G. Arts, Science and Commerce College, Malegaon Camp, Malegaon, Nashik – 423 105, Maharashtra, India.

ARTICLEDETAILS

Article history:
Received 24 February 2026
Accepted 11 March 2026
Available online 15 April 2026

Keywords:
Graphene Oxide (GO)
GO Synthesis Methods
Functionalization

ABSTRACT

Graphene oxide (GO) has emerged as a versatile two-dimensional platform owing to its high surface area, tunable electronic structure, and abundant oxygenated functional groups, which collectively enable rich surface chemistry and colloidal stability in aqueous media. Decorating GO with inorganic and organic nanoparticles (NPs) provides powerful means to overcome intrinsic limitations of both partners, including GO's moderate conductivity and the agglomeration, photocorrosion, or poor dispersion of bare nanoparticles. Over the last few years, rapid progress has been made in synthetic strategies for covalent and non-covalent NP functionalization of GO, including hydrothermal, solvothermal, co-precipitation, and bioinspired green methods, as well as in situ growth approaches that allow fine control over interface architecture. These advances have translated into markedly enhanced performance in photocatalysis, energy storage, sensing, and biomedicine, where NP–GO hybrids display improved charge separation, mechanical robustness, and multifunctionality compared with their single-component counterparts. This review critically surveys recent literature on nanoparticle–GO hybrid systems, with an emphasis on structure–property relationships, mechanistic understanding of interfacial charge and mass transport, and application-oriented performance. Particular attention is paid to green and bioinspired synthesis routes, the use of heteroatom doping and co-doping, and the rational design of hierarchical architectures. Current challenges in reproducibility, scalability, toxicity, and long-term stability are discussed in detail, and key knowledge gaps are identified. Finally, future research directions are proposed, including machine-learning-assisted materials discovery, standardized characterization protocols, and life-cycle assessment of NP–GO nanohybrids for sustainable deployment.

1. Introduction

Graphene and its derivatives have attracted intense interest as advanced carbon materials with exceptional electrical, mechanical, and thermal properties, enabling applications ranging from electronics to energy conversion and biomedicine. Among these derivatives, graphene oxide is especially appealing due to its facile synthesis via oxidative exfoliation of graphite and its rich population of oxygen-containing groups (epoxy, hydroxyl, carbonyl, carboxyl) that impart hydrophilicity and chemical reactivity. However, pristine GO suffers from reduced electrical conductivity relative to graphene, while bare nanoparticles such as metal oxides or metals are prone to aggregation, photo corrosion, and poor recyclability [1].

Nanoparticle functionalization of GO provides a powerful strategy to combine the advantages of both components: GO serves as a high-surface-area support and electron mediator, whereas nanoparticles impart catalytic, magnetic, plasmonic, or biological functionality. Recent comprehensive reviews have outlined synthesis and functionalization strategies for GO-based nanomaterials and their applications in catalysis, sensing, and medicine, highlighting both opportunities and persistent bottlenecks. Building on this foundation, the present article focuses specifically on recent advances (approximately 2020–2025) in nanoparticle functionalization of GO and GO-like derivatives, with an emphasis on metal and metal-oxide nanoparticles and their role in photocatalysis, environmental remediation, energy storage, and biomedical technologies [2,3].

In addition to summarizing synthetic developments, this review aims to provide a critical analysis of structure–property relationships in NP–GO hybrids, including how interface chemistry, nanoparticle composition and morphology, and heteroatom doping dictate performance. The discussion also evaluates the extent to which reported systems address issues of sustainability, toxicity, and scalability, topics that are particularly important as GO-based nanomaterials move closer to real-world deployment [4].

2. Structural and Chemical Features of Graphene Oxide Relevant to Functionalization

GO is typically produced by oxidizing graphite using variants of the Hummers method, in which $KMnO_4$ and concentrated H_2SO_4 (sometimes with $NaNO_3$ or $H3PO_4$) are employed to introduce oxygen functionalities and expand interlayer spacing. Modifications of the classical protocol, including replacement of Mn-based oxidants with greener Fe(VI) salts or optimization of acid composition, have been proposed to improve oxidation efficiency while reducing environmental impact and hazardous by-products. The resulting GO consists of single- or few-layer sheets with disordered sp^2/sp^3 domains, where basal planes are decorated mostly with epoxy and hydroxyl groups and sheet edges bear carboxylic and carbonyl moieties [5].

These oxygenated groups are pivotal for nanoparticle functionalization because they (i) provide anchoring sites for covalent coupling or coordination, (ii) enable electrostatic interactions with charged precursors, and (iii) endow GO with dispersibility in aqueous and polar media. At the same time, they disrupt the π-conjugated network, significantly reducing electrical conductivity compared with pristine graphene, an effect partially reversed upon chemical or thermal reduction to reduced graphene oxide (rGO). Consequently, many synthetic routes aim to tune the oxidation level and C/O ratio such that sufficient functional groups are retained for effective NP anchoring while restoring enough sp^2 character for efficient charge transport [6].

A variety of top-down and bottom-up techniques exist for preparing graphene-based derivatives beyond GO and rGO, such as graphene, fluorographene, and heteroatom-doped graphene, each exhibiting distinct surface chemistry and reactivity. These related materials can also be integrated with nanoparticles, but GO remains the most versatile starting point for solution-phase functionalization owing to its processability and reactive oxygen sites [7].

*Corresponding Author:aishvyalij@gmail.com(Aishwarya S. Patil)

https://doi.org/10.30799/jnst.S210.26110310

3. Strategies for Nanoparticle Functionalization of Graphene Oxide

3.1 Covalent Functionalization

Covalent functionalization leverages the intrinsic oxygenated groups of GO or introduces new functional moieties to anchor nanoparticles via robust chemical bonds. Carboxylic acid groups at sheet edges can be activated using carbodiimide chemistry (e.g., EDC/NHS) to form amide linkages with amine-terminated ligands or nanoparticle surfaces, a strategy widely applied for attaching metal oxides, quantum dots, and biomolecules. Epoxy groups on the basal plane can undergo nucleophilic ring-opening by amines, thiols, or hydroxides linked to nanoparticle precursors, providing additional anchoring points and controlling the spatial distribution of nanoparticles on the sheet. Silane coupling agents such as (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES) are frequently used to bridge oxide nanoparticles and GO; the silane forms Si–O–M bonds with metal oxides and reacts with GO's carboxyl or epoxy groups, producing well-defined core–shell or shell–bridge architectures. More recently, click-chemistry-inspired approaches, including azide–alkyne cycloaddition or thiol–ene reactions, have been explored to achieve modular and orthogonal nanoparticle attachment with high efficiency under mild conditions. Covalent methods offer strong mechanical and chemical stability and suppress nanoparticle leaching, which is advantageous for photocatalysis, electrocatalysis, and biomedical applications where long-term integrity is crucial [8].

However, covalent modification can introduce additional defects and sp^3 character into the GO lattice, further compromising electrical conductivity and potentially diminishing electron mobility if over-functionalization occurs. Achieving an optimal balance between robust anchoring and preservation of electronic transport remains an important design challenge, necessitating careful control of functional group density and reaction conditions [9].

3.2 Non-Covalent Functionalization

Non-covalent functionalization exploits π–π stacking, van der Waals forces, and electrostatic interactions to assemble nanoparticles onto GO without forming direct covalent bonds. Aromatic surfactants or polymers (e.g., pyrene derivatives, polyaniline, polypyrrole) can adsorb on GO's sp^2 domains via π–π interactions and simultaneously coordinate or electrostatically bind nanoparticles, effectively acting as molecular linkers. Classical surfactants such as sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate (SDBS) and polymeric stabilizers like poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP) have been shown to improve dispersion and prevent restacking of GO sheets and nanoparticle aggregation in aqueous media [10].

Electrostatic assembly is particularly effective when either the GO or the nanoparticles are surface-charged through pH adjustment or ligand choice, enabling layer-by-layer deposition or straightforward mixing to form hybrid colloids. Because the π-conjugated framework of GO is largely preserved in non-covalent approaches, these hybrids often maintain higher electrical conductivity than heavily covalently functionalized analogues, which can be advantageous in supercapacitors and electrochemical sensors [11].

On the downside, non-covalent interactions are typically weaker and more sensitive to changes in ionic strength, pH, and temperature, which may lead to nanoparticle desorption or rearrangement during operation or recycling. For catalytic and environmental applications, such instability can cause metal leaching and secondary contamination, emphasizing the need for systematic stability testing and potential hybrid schemes that combine covalent and non-covalent elements [12].

3.3 In Situ Growth versus Ex Situ Assembly

Another crucial axis of classification concerns whether nanoparticles are grown in situ on GO or attached ex situ after independent synthesis. In situ methods typically involve dispersing GO in a solution of metal salts or nanoparticle precursors, followed by reduction, hydrolysis, or thermal treatment that nucleates and grows nanoparticles directly at the GO surface. Hydrothermal and solvothermal processes are especially popular, as they afford good control over particle size, crystallinity, and distribution while concurrently reducing GO to rGO, thereby improving conductivity and interfacial contact [13]. Ex situ assembly, by contrast, relies on preformed nanoparticles with well-defined size and surface chemistry, which are subsequently anchored to GO via the covalent or non-covalent strategies outlined above.

This approach allows independent optimization of nanoparticle synthesis and functionalization, but can suffer from incomplete coverage or non-uniform distribution and may require multiple purification steps. Comparative studies suggest that in situ methods often yield stronger interfacial coupling and more intimate electronic contact, leading to superior photocatalytic and electrochemical performance, though at the cost of less precise size distribution control in some cases [14].

3.4 Green and Bioinspired Functionalization Routes

Green synthesis and bioinspired strategies have gained momentum as sustainable alternatives to conventional routes that employ harsh reductants and organic solvents. Plant extracts, polysaccharides, amino acids, and other biomolecules can act as both reducing agents and capping ligands for in situ generation of metal or metal-oxide nanoparticles on GO under mild conditions. Such approaches reduce toxic waste and often endow the hybrids with additional functional groups (e.g., hydroxyls, amines) that enhance biocompatibility and dispersibility, which is particularly beneficial for biomedical and environmental applications [15].

Recent reviews on bioinspired graphene-based metal-oxide nanocomposites highlight the promise of these methods for photocatalytic degradation of dyes and pharmaceuticals, as well as for antimicrobial coatings, while also noting challenges in controlling batch-to-batch consistency and detailed structural characterization. From a green chemistry perspective, the use of benign reductants, aqueous media, and lower reaction temperatures aligns well with current sustainability frameworks, but scale-up and process robustness remain open issues that must be addressed before industrial translation [16].

4. Classes of Nanoparticles in Graphene Oxide Hybrids

4.1 Metal-Oxide and Chalcogenide Nanoparticles

Metal-oxide nanoparticles (e.g., TiO_2, ZnO, Fe_3O_4, MnO_2, $CoFe_2O_4$) are the most extensively studied class in GO-based hybrids owing to their relevance in photocatalysis, energy storage, and magnetic applications. TiO_2/GO and ZnO/GO composites form various types of heterojunctions with GO or rGO that facilitate charge separation; GO typically functions as an electron sink and conductive highway, reducing recombination losses and enhancing photocatalytic activity under UV–visible or visible light. Magnetic oxides such as Fe_3O_4 and ferrites impart super para magnetism, enabling easy magnetic separation and recycling of the catalysts, as demonstrated in multifunctional GO/Fe_3O_4 and GO/ferrite nanocomposites for dye removal and biomedical imaging [17].

Chalcogenide semiconductors such as CdS, CdSe, and related sulfides have also been integrated with GO to extend light absorption into the visible region and improve photocatalytic hydrogen evolution or pollutant degradation. In these systems, careful engineering of band alignment and interfacial contacts is essential to avoid photo-corrosion of the sulfide phase and to maximize charge-transfer efficiency, often achieved through co-modification with cocatalysts or heteroatom-doped rGO [18].

4.2 Metal and Alloy Nanoparticles

Noble metals (Au, Ag, Pt, Pd) and base metals (Ni, Cu, Co) anchored on GO act as catalysts, plasmonic enhancers, or electron mediators. Au/Ag-GO hybrids excel in SERS and electrochemical sensing through strong local-field enhancement, while Pt/Pd on doped GO drive efficient ORR and HER with GO imparting corrosion resistance and electronic modulation [19]. Scarcity and cost of noble metals have spurred replacement with earth-abundant Ni/Co/Fe alloys or phosphides stabilized on functionalized GO, which suppresses aggregation/Ostwald ripening and boosts long-term activity, although comprehensive durability data under operational conditions remain limited [20].

4.3 Quantum Dots and Carbon-Based Nanoparticles

Semiconducting QDs (CdTe, CdSe, perovskite) and carbon/graphene QDs hybridized with GO engineer optoelectronic properties for fluorescent probes, photocatalysts, and bioimaging agents. Strong electronic coupling drives photoinduced charge transfer that quench or enhances fluorescence, enabling sensitive detection of biomolecules and ions, while carbon dots support metal-free photocatalysis and sensing [21,22].

4.4 Polymeric, MOF, and Hybrid Nanoparticles

Polymeric nanoparticles and MOFs interfaced with GO create hierarchical porous architectures for gas storage, catalysis, and pollutant capture while improving structural stability and conductivity. In drug delivery and tissue engineering, they combine mechanical reinforcement with controlled release and imaging; this platform, though less mature than metal-oxide systems, holds strong potential for next-generation multifunctional hybrids [23,24].

https://doi.org/10.30799/jnst.S210.26110310

5. Characterization, Structure–Property Relationships and Interfacial Mechanisms

Reliable characterization demands a multi-technique strategy: XRD for phase identification and interlayer spacing, TEM/SEM for morphology/dispersion, FTIR/Raman for functional groups and defect density (ID/IG), XPS for composition/oxidation states and interfacial interactions, plus BET/TGA, zeta potential, electrochemical, and optical methods for surface area, stability, and performance correlation. Many studies still provide incomplete datasets, limiting rigorous structure–property insights [25]. Superior performance in photocatalysis and energy devices arises from efficient interfacial charge transfer and optimized band alignment. In TiO_2/GO or ZnO/GO systems, GO/rGO serves as an electron reservoir, suppressing recombination; heteroatom doping (N, B, S) and co-doping further modulate charge density and active sites, yielding synergistic enhancements in electrocatalysis and metal–air batteries [26].

6. Applications of Nanoparticle-Functionalized Graphene Oxide

6.1 Photocatalysis and Environmental Remediation

TiO_2/GO, ZnO/GO, and CdS/rGO nanocomposites outperform bare semiconductors in degrading organic pollutants via improved charge separation, visible-light response, and pollutant adsorption. Bioinspired routes enhance sustainability, yet standardized protocols, control experiments, and recyclability testing are vital for fair benchmarking [27].

6.2 Energy Storage and Conversion

GO-metal oxide composites in supercapacitors, lithium-/sodium-ion batteries, and metal–air systems deliver high capacitance, cycling stability, and volume-expansion mitigation. Heteroatom-doped GO with transition-metal nanoparticles or single atoms serves as cost-effective ORR/OER electrocatalysts, particularly in hierarchical aerogels [28].

6.3 Biomedical Applications

GO-NP hybrids enable high-capacity drug loading, magnetic targeting, imaging, and photothermal therapy. However, cytotoxicity, biodistribution, and long-term toxicity (dependent on size, surface chemistry, and dose) require expanded in vivo studies and standardized biocompatibility protocols [29].

6.4 Sensors and Biosensors

Metal-NP–GO platforms offer enhanced sensitivity and selectivity for electrochemical detection of glucose, dopamine, and heavy metals via accelerated electron transfer. GO also supports fluorescence, colorimetric, and SERS sensing with aptamers/enzymes, though long-term stability and anti-fouling in complex media need further engineering [30].

7. Conclusion

Nanoparticle functionalization of graphene oxide has produced versatile, high-performance hybrids across photocatalysis, energy storage, sensing, and biomedicine. Overcoming reproducibility, toxicity, and scalability barriers through integrated computational-experimental approaches and standardized protocols will enable sustainable deployment. Major hurdles include poor synthetic reproducibility/ scalability, batch-to-batch variability, incomplete characterization, and absent life-cycle assessments. Biomedical toxicity data remain short-term, and inconsistent testing protocols hinder benchmarking and real-world translation. Machine-learning-guided discovery, hierarchical 3D architectures (e.g., MOF–NP–GO aerogels), and green synthesis with earth-abundant metals will accelerate rational design. Embedding sustainability assessments and demonstrating prototypes under realistic operating conditions are critical next steps.

References

[1] M. Zhan, M. Xu, W. Lin, H. He, C. He, Graphene oxide research: Current developments and future directions, Nanomaterials 15(3) (2025) 507.

[2] X.M. Han, K.W. Zheng, R.L. Wang, S.F. Yue, J. Chen, et al., Functionalization and optimization-strategy of graphene oxide-based nanomaterials for gene and drug delivery, Am. J. Transl. Res. 12(4) (2020) 1515–1534.

[3] S. Anjali, S. Mishra, A. Kumar, Recent advances in functionalization of graphene oxide and its derivatives, Chem. Select 9(5) (2024) e202400220.

[4] A.A. Yallur, B.V. Rao, S. Ramesh, M. Prakash, Recent advances in graphene-based metal oxide composites for sustainable energy devices, Adv. Sustain. Syst. 9(12) (2025) 2500121.

[5] A.K. Potbhare, R. Patil, S. Deshmukh, V. Borse, Bioinspired graphene-based metal oxide nanocomposites for photocatalytic and electrochemical performances: An updated review, Nanoscale Adv. 6(5) (2024) 2539–2568.

[6] M.G. Gonçalves, A. Nunes, L. Rodrigues, R. Martins, Functionalization of graphene oxide via epoxide groups: A comprehensive review of synthetic routes and challenges, Front. Carbon 3(1) (2024) 1393077.

[7] S. Pandit, A. Roy, P.S. Devi, M. Sardar, Boosting photocatalytic activity using reduced graphene oxide (RGO)/semiconductor nanocomposites: Issues and future scope, ACS Omega 6(11) (2021) 8406–8415.

[8] Y. Xu, J. Liu, A. Noori, S. Azizian, Synthesis and functionalization of graphene materials for biomedical applications: Recent advances, challenges, and perspectives, Adv. Sci. 10(22) (2023) 2205292.

[9] R. González-Rodríguez, E. Campbell, A. Naumov, Multifunctional graphene oxide/iron oxide nanoparticles for magnetic targeted drug delivery, dual magnetic resonance/fluorescence imaging and cancer sensing, PLoS One 14(3) (2019) e0217072.

[10] J. Narayan, K. Bezborah, Recent advances in the functionalization, substitutional doping and applications of graphene/graphene composite nanomaterials, RSC Adv. 14(22) (2024) 13416–13452.

[11] A. Maio, I. Pibiri, M. Morreale, F.P. La Mantia, R. Scaffaro, An overview of functionalized graphene nanomaterials for advanced applications, Nanomaterials 11(7) (2021) 1717.

[12] D.R. Dreyer, S. Park, C.W. Bielawski, R.S. Ruoff, The chemistry of graphene oxide, Chem. Soc. Rev. 39(1) (2010) 228–240.

[13] Y. Wang, Z. Li, X. Zhang, Applications of functionalized graphene oxide in drug delivery and biomedical imaging, Open Access Libr. J. 11(1) (2024) e1111549.

[14] S.K. Gupta, S. Kumari, R. Upadhyay, P. Singh, A review on recent development and applications of nano-enhanced phase change material in thermal management system, Sustain. Energy Technol. Assess. 70 (2026) 104005.

[15] N. Baig, V.K. Kammakakam, W. Falath, Nanomaterials: A review of synthesis methods, properties, recent progress, and challenges, Mater. Adv. 2(5) (2021) 1821–1871.

[16] N. Bellier, P. Baipaywad, N. Ryu, J.Y. Lee, H. Park, Recent biomedical advancements in graphene oxide- and reduced graphene oxide-based nanocomposite nanocarriers, Biomater. Res. 26(1) (2022) 65.

[17] A. Sontakke, A. Tiwari, P. Das, P.K. Panda, A comprehensive review on graphene oxide-based nanocarriers: Synthesis, functionalization and biomedical applications, Nano Today 49 (2023) 101800.

[18] T. Saba, M. Ahmed, M. Khan, S. Ali, A comprehensive review on functionalized graphene oxide nanoparticles for fluorescence properties and potential in biosensing and water remediation, Chem. Select 10(14) (2025) e202500220.

[19] J. Dong, S. Wang, P. Xi, X. Zhang, X. Zhu, H. Wang, T. Huang, Reduced graphene oxide-supported iron–cobalt alloys as high-performance catalysts for oxygen reduction reaction, Nanomaterials 13(15) (2023) 2735.

[20] C.S. Yellatur, V. Vinothkumar, P.K.R. Kuppam, J. Oh, T.H. Kim, Methanol tolerant Pd–Co alloy nanoparticles on reduced graphene oxide as cathode catalysts for direct methanol fuel cells, Catalysts 15(6) (2025) 1123.

[21] S. Mandal, S. Adhikari, M. Murmu, B.H. Kim, D.H. Kim, Graphene and carbon quantum dots: competing carbons in harmonized photoelectrochemical platforms, Small 21(14) (2025) e202505846.

[22] J. Ye, M. Ren, J. Qian, X. Li, Q. Chen, Advances in graphene quantum dots-based photocatalysts for enhanced charge transfer in photocatalytic reactions, Chin. Chem. Lett. 36(3) (2025) 110857.

[23] N. Rabiee, Sustainable metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) for drug delivery systems, Mater. Today Commun. 35 (2023) 106244.

[24] X. Yao, X. Chen, Y. Sun, P. Yang, X. Gu, X. Dai, Application of metal–organic frameworks-based functional composite scaffolds in tissue engineering, Regener. Biomater. 11(4) (2024) rbae009.

[25] M. Al Kausor, D. Chakrabortty, Graphene oxide-based semiconductor photocatalysts for degradation of organic dye in wastewater: A review on fabrication, performance enhancement and challenges, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 130 (2021) 108710.

[26] J. Singh, D. Nguyen, Recent advancements in graphene-based nanocomposites for enhanced photocatalysis in environmental remediation: A comprehensive review, Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 262 (2025) 45–78.

[27] F. Zhang, J. Liu, L. Hu, C. Guo, Recent progress of three-dimensional graphene-based composites for photocatalysis, Gels 10(6) (2024) 626.

[28] Z.A. Sandhu, M. Raza, N. Dege, Graphene–metal oxide nanocomposites: empowering next-generation energy storage devices, Mater. Sci. Eng. B 313 (2025) 116262.

[29] S. Gautam, S. Rialach, S. Paul, N. Goyal, MOF/graphene oxide-based composites in smart supercapacitors: a comprehensive review on the electrochemical evaluation and material development for advanced energy storage devices, RSC Adv. 14(29) (2024) 14311–14339.

[30] B. Sen, H. Sarma, Carbon quantum dots as multifunctional nanomaterials for sustainable optoelectronic biosensing and green photonics, Commun. Mater. 7(1) (2026) 10.

Special Issue Publication Statement

This article is included in the Special Issue of the journal comprising peer-reviewed papers selected from the International Conference on "Frontiers in Chemical and Material Sciences (ICFCMS-2026)", held on 3rd and 4th February 2026 at MGV's Maharaja Sayajirao Gaikwad Arts, Science and Commerce College, Malegaon Camp, Malegaon, Nashik – 423 105, Maharashtra, India.

https://doi.org/10.30799/jnst.S210.26110310

Cite this Article as: Aishwarya S. Patil, Sandip B. Nahire, Recent advances in nanoparticle functionalization of graphene oxide: A critical review, J. Nanosci. Tech. 11(3) (2026) 1110–1112.